

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,004	01/19/2006	Tsuyoshi Uchara	Q92479	5166	
23373 7590 01/24/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			CHEN, K	CHEN, KEATH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/565,004	UEHARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Keath T. Chen	1792		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔀 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must time ly file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ro event, however will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extern and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3: 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief,will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL -324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🔀 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔀 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and</u> 27.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-26 and 28-36.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowa nce because: The amendment raises new issues for consideration. Some of the applicant's arguments which are based off the proposed amendment which will not be entered for the above reasons; other arguments are addressed in the separate advisory sheet.

Application/Control Number:

10/565,004 Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

1. The proposed After Final amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues for further consideration, such as adding new limitations to claims 1, 4, 11, and 27.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 01/14/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. Applicant argues that adding new limitations such as gas introduction port and a conveyor to claim 1 obviates 112 2nd paragraph rejection. On the contrary, the rejection was regarding to "a length corresponding to that of said workpiece"; deleting such phrases relating to the length of workpiece in various places in claim 1 would have overcome the 112 2nd rejection.
- 3. Applicant argues that the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) based on combination of Perrin et al. (US 6281469) is overcome by amendment (see pages 16-17). The argument is unconvincing because the proposed amendment has not been entered.
- 4. Applicant argues that the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) based on '469 because a) the combination of "'elongate' and 'arranged in a line' in the extending direction is not obvious" (page 17, 2nd and 3rd last lines; and b) none of the sub-electrodes 12 can be grounded (page 18, lines 15-16).

In regard to a), Fig. 7 of '469 showed elongate electrodes arranged in a line in the extending direction.

In regard to b), applicant quotes '469 statement (col. 5, lines 53-57, which is a logic statement "if A then B") and then applies wrong logical deduction to reach "if not A then not B"; therefore, applicant's statement that "if one of the electrode arrangements 10 and 20 is lined to ground potential, the grounded electrode cannot be subdivided into sub-electrodes" is false and the argument is not valid.

10/565,004

Art Unit: 1792

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keath T. Chen whose telephone number is 571-270-1870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 $\kappa c \qquad \chi_{\varsigma}$

MICHAEL CLÉVELAND SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER